- Molecular Structure Corporation (1993). TEXSAN. Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software. Version 1.6c. MSC, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Satsangee, S. P., Hain, J. H. Jr, Cooper, P. T. & Koch, S. A. (1992). Inorg. Chem. 31, 5160.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Crystallographic Computing 3, edited by G. M. Sheldrick, C. Krüger & R. Goddard, pp. 175–189. Oxford Univ. Press.

### Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1807-1809

# (Acetonitrile)-trans-dichloro-mer-tris[(dimethyl)phenylphosphine]osmium(III); the Kinetically Controlled Electrogenerated Isomer

BRETT D. YEOMANS, GRAHAM A. HEATH AND DAVID C. R. HOCKLESS

Research School of Chemistry, Institute of Advanced Studies, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia

(Received 8 December 1994; accepted 8 March 1995)

#### Abstract

The electrosynthesized compound, *trans-mer*-[OsCl<sub>2</sub>- $(C_2H_3N)(C_8H_{11}P)_3$ ], contains a nearly linear MeC $\equiv$ N—Os linkage [Os(1)—N(1)—C(1) 179(1)°] and the phenyl substituents on neighbouring phosphines are specifically oriented about the nitrile ligand.

### Comment

*trans-mer*- $[Os^{II}Cl_2(PMe_2Ph)_3(MeCN)]$ , (I), is formally an MeCN-substituted analogue of the more familiar complex *trans*- $[Os^{II}Cl_2(PMe_2Ph)_4]$ , but is actually isolated in a redox-induced halide displacement reaction:

$$mer-[Os^{III}Cl_3(PR_3)_3] \xrightarrow{+1e^-} Cl^- + \{Os^{II}Cl_2(PR_3)_3\} \xrightarrow{+} [OsCl_2(PR_3)_3(MeCN)]$$
  
very reactive (I)

Such electrosynthetic procedures have been elevated to the status of 'rational pathways to osmium(II) complexes' (Coombe, Heath, Stephenson, Whitelock & Yellowlees, 1985).

All potential isomers of (I) (*trans-mer*, *cis-mer* and *cis-fac*) possess inequivalent phosphine ligands in the ratio 1:2, as required by the <sup>31</sup>P NMR data, and this is the first X-ray confirmation of the *trans-mer* assignment for the kinetically controlled product. On standing in solution, (I) relaxes to the presumed *cis-mer* isomer.



The pertinent bond lengths and angles in (I) are unexceptional, and compare well with, for example, *trans*-[OsCl<sub>2</sub>(dppe)<sub>2</sub>] (Levason, Champness & Webster, 1993), with Os—Cl = 2.434 Å, and Os—P(mean) = 2.36 Å. A greater *trans*-influence of PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph compared with MeCN on Os—P bond lengths is also evident [the difference between Os—P(1,3) and Os—P(2) being approximately 0.05 Å].

The *trans*-MeCN—Os—P moiety is essentially linear and comparable to the MeCN—Ru—NCMe fragment recently described for *trans*-[RuCl<sub>4</sub>(MeCN)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (Gheller, Heath & Hockless, 1995).

The phenyl substituents on neighbouring phosphines seem specifically oriented about the nitrile ligand. Viewed down the P(1)-P(3) axis, these rings are eclipsed (and accidentally perpendicular to this axis) and are seen to partially enclose the MeCN group, with both phosphines tilted slightly in this direction.



Fig. 1. View of (I) showing the labelling of all non-H atoms. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.

#### Experimental

X-ray quality crystals of (I) were obtained exactly as described by Coombe, Heath, Stephenson, Whitelock & Yellowlees (1985), and their identity as the initial kinetic product confirmed by <sup>31</sup>P {<sup>1</sup>H} NMR. For the crystals redissolved in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>:  $P_A = -47.3$  (*t*),  $P_B = -35.5$  p.p.m. (*d*) (<sup>2</sup>J<sub>P-P</sub> = 21 Hz).

#### Crystal data

 $[OsCl_2(C_2H_3N)(C_8H_{11}P)_3]$ M<sub>r</sub> = 716.60

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\lambda = 0.7107$  Å

### $[OsCl_2(C_2H_3N)(C_8H_{11}P)_3]$

Triclinic $P\overline{1}$ a = 9.132 (2) Å b = 12.248 (2) Å c = 13.622 (3) Å $\alpha = 95.72$ (2)° $\beta = 105.60$ (2)° $\gamma = 89.91$ (2)°	Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 16.1-19.9^{\circ}$ $\mu = 4.728 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 295  K Prism $0.18 \times 0.08 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$ Yellow	C(28) C(31) C(32) C(33) C(34) C(35) C(36) C(37) C(38)	0.508 (1) -0.075 (1) -0.250 (2) -0.299 (1) -0.276 (1) -0.392 (2) -0.526 (2) -0.551 (2) -0.436 (1)	0.193 ( -0.017 ( 0.034 ( 0.1412 0.147 ( 0.178 ( 0.209 ( 0.208 ( 0.173 (	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	0.055 (5) 0.060 (5) 0.070 (6) 0.043 (4) 0.056 (5) 0.077 (6) 0.071 (6) 0.076 (6) 0.063 (5)
$V = 1459.7(5) Å^3$		-	Table 2. Sel	ected geom	etric parameters (	(Å, °)
Z = 2		Os(1)	CI(1)	2.447 (3)	Os(1)—P(3)	2.350(3)
$D_{\rm r} = 1.630 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$		Os(1)	C1(2)	2.432 (3)	Os(1) - N(1)	2.06 (1)
~ 0		Os(1)—I	P(1)	2.362 (3)	N(1)C(1)	1.14 (1)
Data collection		Os(1)—I	P(2)	2.298 (3)	C(1)C(2)	1.46 (2)
Rigaku AFC-6S diffractom- eter $\omega/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: azimuthal $\psi$ scan (North, Phillips & Mathews, 1968) $T_{min} = 0.746$ , $T_{max} =$	4256 observed reflections $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$ $R_{int} = 0.032$ $\theta_{max} = 25.05^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 10$ $k = -14 \rightarrow 14$ $l = -16 \rightarrow 15$	Cl(1)( Cl(1)( Cl(1)( Cl(1)( Cl(2)( Cl(2)( Cl(2)( Cl(2)(	$\begin{array}{l} S(1) &C(2) \\ Os(1) &P(1) \\ Os(1) &P(2) \\ Os(1) &P(2) \\ Os(1) &P(3) \\ Os(1) &P(1) \\ Os(1) &P(2) \\ Os(1) &P(3) \\ Os(1) &N(1) \\ \end{array}$	175.6 (1)         87.5 (1)         93.7 (1)         86.9 (1)         88.6 (3)         93.5 (1)         90.5 (1)         91.4 (1)         87.2 (3)	P(1) - Os(1) - P(2) $P(1) - Os(1) - P(3)$ $P(1) - Os(1) - N(1)$ $P(2) - Os(1) - N(1)$ $P(3) - Os(1) - N(1)$ $P(3) - Os(1) - N(1)$ $Os(1) - N(1) - C(1)$ $N(1) - C(1) - C(2)$	93.1 (1) 170.5 (1) 86.2 (3) 92.9 (1) 177.4 (3) 86.0 (3) 179 (1) 176 (1)
1.000	3 standard reflections					

5504 measured reflections monitored every 150 5150 independent reflections intensity decay: 2.55%

Refinement

Refinement on F	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 2.26 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
R = 0.044	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.87 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
wR = 0.061	Extinction correction:
S = 2.59	Zachariasen (1967) type
4256 reflections	2 Gaussian isotropic
299 parameters	Extinction coefficient:
H-atom parameters not	$2.9(5) \times 10^{-7}$
refined	Atomic scattering factors
$w = 4F_o^2 / [\sigma^2 (F_o^2)]$	from International Tables
$+ (0.019F_o^2)^2$ ]	for X-ray Crystallography
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.007$	(1974, Vol. IV)

reflections

Table	1.	Fractiona	l aton	nic co	oordinates	and	equivalent
		isotropic d	isplac	emen	t paramete	ers (Å	<sup>2</sup> )

x	у	Z	$U_{eq}$
0.03302 (5)	0.24307 (4)	0.27837 (3)	0.0334 (1)
0.1198 (4)	0.2253 (3)	0.1227 (2)	0.052(1)
-0.0714 (4)	0.2659 (3)	0.4254 (2)	0.061 (1)
0.1926 (4)	0.4014 (2)	0.3333 (2)	0.044 (1)
0.2100 (3)	0.1251 (2)	0.3599 (2)	0.0396 (1)
-0.1431 (4)	0.1000 (3)	0.1992 (2)	0.043 (1)
-0.132 (1)	0.3469 (8)	0.2092 (8)	0.052 (4)
-0.222 (2)	0.405 (1)	0.171 (1)	0.067 (5)
-0.337 (2)	0.478 (1)	0.117 (2)	0.129 (9)
0.380(1)	0.394 (1)	0.310(1)	0.071 (5)
0.237 (2)	0.462 (1)	0.466 (1)	0.078 (6)
0.115(1)	0.5164 (9)	0.2626 (9)	0.047 (4)
0.126 (2)	0.522(1)	0.1629 (9)	0.055 (5)
0.064 (2)	0.608(1)	0.106(1)	0.075 (6)
-0.008 (2)	0.687 (1)	0.150(1)	0.065 (5)
-0.019 (2)	0.689(1)	0.247 (1)	0.073 (5)
0.036(1)	0.6022 (10)	0.3021 (10)	0.055 (4)
0.321(1)	0.052(1)	0.285(1)	0.060 (5)
0.137(1)	0.013(1)	0.4147 (9)	0.053 (4)
0.357 (1)	0.1825 (9)	0.4753 (9)	0.047 (4)
0.313(1)	0.213(1)	0.562(1)	0.060 (5)
0.421 (2)	0.257(1)	0.653(1)	0.076 (6)
0.571 (2)	0.266(1)	0.651 (1)	0.081 (6)
0.614 (2)	0.235(1)	0.567 (1)	0.073 (6)
	x 0.03302 (5) 0.1198 (4) -0.0714 (4) 0.1926 (4) 0.2100 (3) -0.1431 (4) -0.132 (1) -0.222 (2) -0.337 (2) 0.380 (1) 0.237 (2) 0.115 (1) 0.126 (2) -0.008 (2) -0.008 (2) -0.008 (2) -0.008 (2) -0.019 (2) 0.036 (1) 0.321 (1) 0.321 (1) 0.313 (1) 0.421 (2) 0.614 (2)	xy $0.03302 (5)$ $0.24307 (4)$ $0.1198 (4)$ $0.2253 (3)$ $-0.0714 (4)$ $0.2659 (3)$ $0.1926 (4)$ $0.4014 (2)$ $0.2100 (3)$ $0.1251 (2)$ $-0.132 (1)$ $0.3469 (8)$ $-0.222 (2)$ $0.405 (1)$ $-0.380 (1)$ $0.394 (1)$ $0.380 (1)$ $0.394 (1)$ $0.237 (2)$ $0.462 (1)$ $0.115 (1)$ $0.5164 (9)$ $0.126 (2)$ $0.522 (1)$ $0.064 (2)$ $0.689 (1)$ $-0.008 (2)$ $0.687 (1)$ $-0.019 (2)$ $0.689 (1)$ $0.036 (1)$ $0.052 (1)$ $0.357 (1)$ $0.1825 (9)$ $0.313 (1)$ $0.213 (1)$ $0.421 (2)$ $0.225 (1)$	xyz $0.03302 (5)$ $0.24307 (4)$ $0.27837 (3)$ $0.1198 (4)$ $0.2253 (3)$ $0.1227 (2)$ $-0.0714 (4)$ $0.2659 (3)$ $0.4254 (2)$ $0.1926 (4)$ $0.4014 (2)$ $0.3333 (2)$ $0.2100 (3)$ $0.1251 (2)$ $0.3599 (2)$ $-0.132 (1)$ $0.3469 (8)$ $0.2092 (8)$ $-0.222 (2)$ $0.405 (1)$ $0.171 (1)$ $-0.337 (2)$ $0.478 (1)$ $0.117 (2)$ $0.380 (1)$ $0.394 (1)$ $0.310 (1)$ $0.237 (2)$ $0.462 (1)$ $0.466 (1)$ $0.115 (1)$ $0.5164 (9)$ $0.2626 (9)$ $0.126 (2)$ $0.522 (1)$ $0.1629 (9)$ $0.064 (2)$ $0.687 (1)$ $0.170 (1)$ $-0.008 (2)$ $0.687 (1)$ $0.126 (1)$ $-0.019 (2)$ $0.689 (1)$ $0.247 (1)$ $0.036 (1)$ $0.052 (1)$ $0.321 (10)$ $0.337 (1)$ $0.1825 (9)$ $0.4753 (9)$ $0.313 (1)$ $0.213 (1)$ $0.552 (1)$ $0.421 (2)$ $0.257 (1)$ $0.653 (1)$ $0.571 (2)$ $0.266 (1)$ $0.651 (1)$

## $U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

Anomalous-dispersion effects were included in $F_c$ ; the values
for $f'$ and $f''$ were those of Creagh & McAuley (1992).
The $\theta$ scan width used was $(0.80 + 0.3 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$ at a speed of
$8.0^{\circ} \text{ min}^{-1}$ (in $\omega$ ). The weak reflections were rescanned a
maximum of four times and the counts accumulated to ensure
good counting statistics. Stationary background counts were
made on each side of the reflection with a 2:1 ratio of
peak to background counting time. H atoms were fixed at
idealized positions with $U_{iso} = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ . The structure was
solved using Patterson methods and expanded using Fourier
techniques (Beurskens et al., 1992).

Data collection: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1988). Cell refinement: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. Data reduction: TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1993). Program(s) used to solve structure: DIRDIF PATTY (Beurskens et al., 1992). Program(s) used to refine structure: TEXSAN. Software used to prepare material for publication: TEXSAN.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: TA1024). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Beurskens, P. T., Admiraal, G., Beurskens, G., Bosman, W. P., Garcia-Grande, S., Gould, R. O., Smits, J. M. M. & Smykalla, C. (1992). DIRDIF92. The DIRDIF Program System. Technical Report. Crystallography Laboratory, Univ. of Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- Coombe, V. T., Heath, G. A., Stephenson, T. A., Whitelock, J. D. & Yellowees, L. J. (1985). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 947-952.
- Creagh, D. C. & McAuley, W. J. (1992). International Tables for Crystallography, Vol. C, Table 4.2.4.3. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Gheller, S. F., Heath, G. A. & Hockless, D. C. R. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 1805–1807.
- Levason, W., Champness, N. R. & Webster, M. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 1884-1885.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1988). MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.

- Molecular Structure Corporation (1993). TEXSAN. Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software. Version 1.6c. MSC, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Zachariasen, W. H. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 558-564.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1809-1811

## A Dinuclear Copper(II) Complex Bridged by a *trans*-Oxamidate Ligand

ZHONG NING CHEN, JUN QIU AND WEN XIA TANG\*

State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Institute of Coordination Chemistry, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210008, People's Republic of China

Kai Bei Yu

Analysis Center, Chengdu Branch of Chinese Academy of Science, Chengdu 610041, People's Republic of China

(Received 17 December 1993; accepted 24 February 1995)

### Abstract

The title complex,  $\mu$ -*N*,*N'*-bis(2-aminoethyl)oxamidato-*N*,*O'*:*N'*,*O*-bis[(thiocyanato-*N*)copper(II)], [Cu<sub>2</sub>(NCS)<sub>2</sub>-(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)], forms a centrosymmetric binuclear molecule with oxen<sup>2-</sup> [*N*,*N'*-bis(2-aminoethyl)oxamide dianion] acting as a bis-chelating terdentate ligand. The structure consists of molecular Cu<sup>II</sup>–Cu<sup>II</sup> units in which the Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions are bridged by an oxamidato group in a *trans* conformation, and are located in an approximately square-planar environment.

### Comment

The N,N'-disubstituted oxamides, such as H<sub>2</sub>oxen, constitute one of the most thoroughly investigated families of bridging ligands (Ojima & Nonoyama, 1988).



The versatility of these ligands is based on (i) the bidentate character of their mononuclear complexes, which can be used as chelating units towards a second metal ion to form polymetallic species (Journaux, Sletten & Kahn, 1985, 1986), (ii) the wide variety of substituted derivatives which can be obtained, yielding different numbers of chelate rings with different donor atoms (Ojima & Nonoyama, 1988), and (iii) their facile cistrans conformational change affording symmetric and asymmetric bridges (Lloret et al., 1992). Most of the copper(II) complexes have symmetrical cis oxamidato bridges; there are very few reports of crystal structures of copper(II) complexes with oxamidato bridges exhibiting the trans conformation (Bencini, Di Vaira, Fabretti, Gatteschi & Zanchini, 1984). The present paper describes the crystal structure of a dinuclear copper(II) complex of N, N'-bis(2-aminoethyl)oxamide, (I), with the trans conformation.



This complex forms a centrosymmetric binuclear molecule with  $\operatorname{oxen}^{2-}$  acting as a bis-chelating terdentate ligand. The Cu<sup>II</sup> ions are bridged by a *trans*-oxamidato group with an inversion centre at the midpoint of the C(1)---C(1*a*) bond, as illustrated in Fig. 1, which also shows the atom-labelling scheme. The packing of the crystal is depicted in Fig. 2.

Each  $Cu^{fI}$  atom is in an approximately squareplanar environment with three atoms [O, N(1) and N(2)] from the oxen<sup>2-</sup> ligand and one atom [N(3)] from a thiocyanate. The largest deviation from the least-square plane through N(1), N(2), N(3) and O is 0.1405 Å at the O-atom site, and the Cu atom lies only 0.0543 Å out of this plane. The Cu—N(1) bond distance [1.928 (2) Å], which is shorter than Cu—O and Cu—N(2) [2.035 (1) and 2.038 (2) Å, respectively], is in agreement with those found in other oxamidatobridged copper(II) complexes (Lloret *et al.*, 1992; Okawa, Matsumoto, Koikama, Takede & Kida, 1990). The significant shortening of the Cu—N(1) bond length agrees with the strong basicity of the deprotonated amide N atom.

The deprotonated  $\operatorname{oxen}^{2-}$  ligand adopts the *trans* conformation forming a five-membered chelate ring with each metal ion. The three atoms Cu, C(2) and C(1a) around N(1) lie in a plane with bond angles about N(1) of 116.4 (1), 116.6 (1) and 126.5 (2)° for Cu—N(1)—C(2), Cu—N(1)—C(1a) and C(2)—N(1)—C(1a), respectively. These data, together with the bond lengths and planarity of the oxamidato bridge, reveal that N(1) and its symmetry-related atom N(1a) are  $sp^2$  hybridized and that the  $\pi$  electrons of C(1)—O and C(1a)—O(a) are delocalized to form a conjugated